Comparison of Five Numerical Methods for Computing Quality Factors and Resonance Wavelengths in Photonic Crystal Membrane Cavities

Niels Gregersen¹, Jakob Rosenkrantz de Lasson¹, Lars Hagedorn Frandsen¹, Oleksiy S. Kim², Olav Breinbjerg², Fengwen Wang³, Ole Sigmund³, Aliaksandra Ivinskaya⁴, Andrei Lavrinenko¹, Philipp Gutsche⁵, Sven Burger⁵, Teppo Häyrynen¹ and Jesper Mørk¹

1. DTU Fotonik, Department of Photonics Engineering, Technical University of Denmark

3. DTU Mekanik, Department of Mechanical Engineering, Technical University of Denmark

4. ITMO University, St. Petersburg, Russia

5. Zuse Institute Berlin, Germany

The photonic crystal (PhC) membrane represents a platform for planar integration of components, where cavities and waveguides may play a key role in realizing compact optical components with classical functionality such as switches, lasers, and amplifiers or quantum optical functionality such as integrated sources of quantum light. By leaving out a row of holes in an otherwise perfect PhC membrane lattice, a line defect is created in which light may be guided. If the waveguide is terminated at both ends, the finite-length waveguide forms an Lncavity, where *n* denotes the length of the cavity. Such Ln cavities support spectrally discrete optical modes, and the fundamental cavity mode profile of an L9 cavity is shown in Fig. 1. Light may be confined to such an Ln cavity for extended periods, as quantified by the quality (Q) factor. For laser applications, the Q factor governs the onset of lasing, and for cavity quantum electrodynamics applications, it governs the onset of strong coupling. The Q factor thus represents a key parameter in the design of a PhC membrane cavity.

The combination of the large size of the PhC Ln cavity and the full 3D nature of the geometry makes the calculation of the cavity Q factor an extremely demanding numerical challenge. No matter which numerical method is used, careful convergence checks with respect to the degrees of freedom must be made. Additionally, most numerical simulations methods rely on a closed simulation domain, and here the influence of the boundary conditions requires carefully study. A study of PhC nanobeam cavities using four numerical techniques has previously been reported [1], where cavity frequencies and Q factors were investigated as function of structural parameters. While qualitative agreement between the methods was found, quantitative discrepancies were in some cases as large as an order of magnitude, and estimates for the computational error and the influence of the size of the computational domain were not given.

	Table 1:	Table 1: Calculated Q factors and reso			
00000000000000000000000000000000000000		FDTD	FDFD	FEM	
	λ^{L5} (nm)	1568	1572	1571	
	λ^{L9} (nm)	1574	1580	1578	
	Q^{L5}	1670	1725	1705	
Fig. 1: Optical field $ E_y ^2$ profile for the L9 cavity mode.	Q^{L9}	104,000	108,000	105,000	

d resonance wavelengths λ .

SIE

1572

1579

1707

104,000

FMM

1567

1570

1700

60,000

In this work, we focus on two structures, a low-Q L5 cavity and a high-Q L9 cavity. We employ five different computational methods [2], the finite-difference time-domain (FDTD) technique, the finite-difference frequency-domain (FDFD) technique, the finite-element method (FEM), the surface integral equation (SIE) approach and the Fourier modal method (FMM), to compute the cavity Q factor and the resonance wavelength for both structures. For each method, the relevant computational parameters are systematically varied to quantify the computational errors. In particular, we investigate the influence of the size of the computational domain. The final results summarized in Table 1 show that the resonance wavelengths agree fairly well for the two geometries among the five methods. On the other hand, significant deviations are observed for the Q factor. Our study highlights the importance of careful convergence checks and systematic estimation of the computational error, both of which are generally missing in the literature.

References

- [1] B. Maes, J. Petráček, S. Burger, P. Kwiecien, J. Luksch, and I. Richter, "Simulations of high-Q optical nanocavities with a gradual 1D bandgap," Opt. Express 21, 6794 (2013).
- [2] A. V. Lavrinenko, J. Lægsgaard, N. Gregersen, F. Schmidt and T. Søndergaard, Numerical Methods in Photonics, (CRC Press, Boca Raton, 2015)

^{2.} DTU Elektro, Department of Electrical Engineering, Technical University of Denmark